

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/329,558	CHAPMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth Tang	2195	

All Participants:

 (1) Kenneth Tang.

 (2) Steven Fischman.
Status of Application: AF

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2005
Time: 3:30PM
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1, 10

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 10 depended on cancelled claim 1. It was agreed to amend the claims such that claim 10 depends on independent claim 2. This amendment was made in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kenneth Tang
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)